



Jurisdiction: Thompson Brook Name/Title: May Roe-Secretary/Treasurer

1. **Planning and Regulatory Capability:** Please indicate whether the following planning or regulatory tools and programs are currently in place or under development for your jurisdiction by placing an "X" in the appropriate box, followed by the date of adoption/update. Then, for each particular item in place, identify the department or agency responsible for its implementation and indicate it's estimated or anticipated effect on hazard loss reduction (Support, Neutral, or Hinder) with the appropriate symbol and also indicate if there has been a change in the ability of the tool/program to result in loss reduction. Finally, please provide additional comments or explanations in the space provided.


Tool/Program	In Place	Date Adopted or Updated	Under Development	Dept. / Agency Responsible	Effect on Loss		Comments:
					Reduction: + Support O Neutral - Hinder	Change Since Last Plan: + Positive - Negative	
EXAMPLE: Hazard Mitigation Plan	X	1/1/2006		Hazard County EMA	+	+	Interim update in 2008 revised mitigation strategy; completed one action.
Hazard Mitigation Plan							
Emergency Operations Plan							
Evacuation Plan							
Continuity of Operations Plan							
NFIP							
NFIP-CRS							
Floodplain Regulations	X	1/21/2003		FEMA	+	+	Adopted in Dec. 2013. Revised 1/21/13
Floodplain Management Plan	X	1/21/2013		FEMA	+	+	↗



Tool/Program	Status			Dept. / Agency Responsible	Effect on Loss Reduction: + Support O Neutral - Hinder	Change Since Last Plan: + Positive - Negative	Comments:
	In Place	Date Adopted or Updated	Under Development				
Zoning Regulations							
Subdivision Regulations							
Comprehensive Land Use Plan (or General, Master, or Growth Mgmt. Plan)							
Open Space Management Plan (or Parks/Rec or Greenways Plan)							
Stormwater Management Plan/Ordinance							
Natural Resource Protection Plan							
Capital Improvement Plan							
Economic Development Plan							
Historic Preservation Plan							
Farmland Preservation							
Building Code							
Fire Code							




Tool/Program	Status			Dept. / Agency Responsible	Effect on Loss Reduction: + Support O Neutral - Hinder	Change Since Last Plan: + Positive - Negative	Comments:
	In Place	Date Adopted or Updated	Under Development				
Firewise							
Storm Ready							
Other							




2. **Administrative and Technical Capability:** Please indicate whether your jurisdiction maintains the following staff members within its current personnel resources by placing an "X" in the appropriate box. Then, if YES, please indicate the department or agency they work under and provide any other comments you may have in the space provided or with attachments.

Staff/Personnel Resources	Yes	No	Department/Agency	Comments
Planners (with land use/land development knowledge)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Planners or engineers (with natural and/or human-caused hazards knowledge)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Engineers or professionals trained in building and/or infrastructure construction practices (includes building inspectors)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Emergency manager		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Floodplain manager		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Land surveyors		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Scientists or staff familiar with the hazards of the community		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Personnel skilled in Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and/or FEMA's HAZUS program		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Grant writers or fiscal staff to handle large/complex grants		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		



3. **Fiscal Capability:** Please indicate whether your jurisdiction has access to or is eligible to use the following local financial resources for hazard mitigation purposes (including as match funds for state or federal mitigation grant funds.) Then, identify the primary department or agency responsible for its administration or allocation and provide any other comments you may have in the space provided or with attachments.

Financial Resources	Yes		No		Department/Agency	Comments
	Yes	No	Yes	No		
Capital improvement programming		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Community Development Block Grants (CDBGs)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Special purpose taxes		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Gas/electric utility fees		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Water/sewer fees		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Stormwater utility fees		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Development impact fees		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
General obligation, revenue, and/or special tax bonds		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Partnering arrangements or intergovernmental agreements		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				



4. **Community Political Capability:** Political capability in this instance is being measured by the degree to which local political leadership (including appointed boards) is willing to enact policies and programs that reduce hazard vulnerabilities in your community, even if met with some opposition. Examples may include guiding development away from identified hazard areas, restricting public investments or capital improvements within hazard areas, or enforcing local development standards that go beyond minimum state or federal requirements (e.g., building codes, floodplain management, etc.). Rate the jurisdiction's political capability to enact policies and programs that reduce hazard vulnerabilities on a scale from 0 to 5. Generally, a higher score corresponds to a higher degree of community political capability.

5-Very Willing 3-Moderately Willing 0-Unwilling to Adopt Policies/Programs Score: 3.5

5. **Self-Assessment of Capability:** Please provide an approximate measure of your jurisdiction's capability to effectively implement hazard mitigation strategies to reduce hazard vulnerabilities. Using the following table, place an "X" in the box marking the most appropriate degree of capability (Limited, Moderate, or High) based upon best available information and the responses provided in Sections 1-4 of this survey. For multi-jurisdictional plans, record the results of this section into the Self-Assessment Capability Matrix in Section 5 of the plan.

Area	Degree of Capability		
	Limited	Moderate	High
Planning and Regulatory Capability		✓	
Administrative and Technical Capability		✓	
Fiscal Capability		✓	
Community Political Capability		✓	
Community Resiliency Capability		✓	

