



Jurisdiction: Morroe Township Supervisors /Name/Title: _____

1. **Planning and Regulatory Capability:** Please indicate whether the following planning or regulatory tools and programs are currently in place or under development for your jurisdiction by placing an "X" in the appropriate box, followed by the date of adoption/update. Then, for each particular item in place, identify the department or agency responsible for its implementation and indicate it's estimated or anticipated effect on hazard loss reduction (Support, Neutral, or Hinder) with the appropriate symbol and also indicate if there has been a change in the ability of the tool/program to result in loss reduction. Finally, please provide additional comments or explanations in the space provided.


Tool/Program	In Place	Date Adopted or Updated	Status		Dept. / Agency Responsible	Effect on Loss Reduction: + Support O Neutral - Hinder	Change Since Last Plan: + Positive - Negative	Comments:
			Under Development					
EXAMPLE: Hazard Mitigation Plan	X	1/1/2006			Hazard County EMA	+	+	Interim update in 2008 revised mitigation strategy; completed one action.
Hazard Mitigation Plan	X	Update in Progress						
Emergency Operations Plan	X							
Evacuation Plan								
Continuity of Operations Plan								
NFIP	X							
NFIP-CRS								
Floodplain Regulations	X	7/7/12						
Floodplain Management Plan								



Tool/Program	In Place	Date Adopted or Updated	Under Development	Dept. / Agency Responsible	Effect on Loss Reduction:		Change Since Last Plan:	Comments:
					+ Support	- Hinder		
Zoning Regulations								
Subdivision Regulations								
Comprehensive Land Use Plan (or General, Master, or Growth Mgmt. Plan)								
Open Space Management Plan (or Parks/Rec or Greenways Plan)								
Stormwater Management Plan/Ordinance								
Natural Resource Protection Plan								
Capital Improvement Plan								
Economic Development Plan								
Historic Preservation Plan								
Farmland Preservation								
Building Code								
Fire Code								




Tool/Program	In Place	Status		Dept. / Agency Responsible	Effect on Loss Reduction:		Change Since Last Plan: + Positive - Negative	Comments:
		Date Adopted or Updated	Under Development		+ Support	O Neutral - Hinder		
Firewise								
Storm Ready								
Other								




2. *Administrative and Technical Capability:* Please indicate whether your jurisdiction maintains the following staff members within its current personnel resources by placing an "X" in the appropriate box. Then, if YES, please indicate the department or agency they work under and provide any other comments you may have in the space provided or with attachments.

Staff/Personnel Resources	Yes	No	Department/Agency	Comments
Planners (with land use/land development knowledge)				
Planners or engineers (with natural and/or human-caused hazards knowledge)				
Engineers or professionals trained in building and/or infrastructure construction practices (includes building inspectors)				
Emergency manager				
Floodplain manager				
Land surveyors				
Scientists or staff familiar with the hazards of the community				
Personnel skilled in Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and/or FEMA's HAZUS program				
Grant writers or fiscal staff to handle large/complex grants				
Other				



3. *Fiscal Capability:* Please indicate whether your jurisdiction has access to or is eligible to use the following local financial resources for hazard mitigation purposes (including as match funds for state or federal mitigation grant funds.) Then, identify the primary department or agency responsible for its administration or allocation and provide any other comments you may have in the space provided or with attachments.

Financial Resources	Yes	No	Department/Agency	Comments
Capital improvement programming				
Community Development Block Grants (CDBGs)				
Special purpose taxes				
Gas/electric utility fees				
Water/sewer fees				
Stormwater utility fees				
Development impact fees				
General obligation, revenue, and/or special tax bonds				
Partnering arrangements or intergovernmental agreements				
Other				



4. **Community Political Capability:** Political capability in this instance is being measured by the degree to which local political leadership (including appointed boards) is willing to enact policies and programs that reduce hazard vulnerabilities in your community, even if met with some opposition. Examples may include guiding development away from identified hazard areas, restricting public investments or capital improvements within hazard areas, or enforcing local development standards that go beyond minimum state or federal requirements (e.g., building codes, floodplain management, etc.). Rate the jurisdiction's political capability to enact policies and programs that reduce hazard vulnerabilities on a scale from 0 to 5. Generally, a higher score corresponds to a higher degree of community political capability.

5-Very Willing 3-Moderately Willing 0-Unwilling to Adopt Policies/Programs

Score: _____

5. **Self-Assessment of Capability:** Please provide an approximate measure of your jurisdiction's capability to effectively implement hazard mitigation strategies to reduce hazard vulnerabilities. Using the following table, place an "X" in the box marking the most appropriate degree of capability (Limited, Moderate, or High) based upon best available information and the responses provided in Sections 1-4 of this survey. For multi-jurisdictional plans, record the results of this section into the Self-Assessment Capability Matrix in Section 5 of the plan.

Area	Degree of Capability		
	Limited	Moderate	High
Planning and Regulatory Capability			
Administrative and Technical Capability			
Fiscal Capability			
Community Political Capability			
Community Resiliency Capability			

