

Jurisdiction: Lack Township Juniata County / Name/Title: [Signature] / Supervisor

1. **Planning and Regulatory Capability:** Please indicate whether the following planning or regulatory tools and programs are currently in place or under development for your jurisdiction by placing an "X" in the appropriate box, followed by the date of adoption/update. Then, for each particular item in place, identify the department or agency responsible for its implementation and indicate it's estimated or anticipated effect on hazard loss reduction (Support, Neutral, or Hinder) with the appropriate symbol and also indicate if there has been a change in the ability of the tool/program to result in loss reduction. Finally, please provide additional comments or explanations in the space provided.


Tool/Program	Status			Dept. / Agency Responsible	Effect on Loss Reduction: + Support O Neutral - Hinder	Change Since Last Plan: + Positive - Negative	Comments:
	In Place	Date Adopted or Updated	Under Development				
<b>EXAMPLE: Hazard Mitigation Plan</b>	X	1/1/2006		Hazard County EMA	+	+	Interim update in 2008 revised mitigation strategy; completed one action.
<b>Hazard Mitigation Plan</b>							
<b>Emergency Operations Plan</b>							
<b>Evacuation Plan</b>							
<b>Continuity of Operations Plan</b>							
<b>NFIP</b>							
<b>NFIP-CRS</b>							
<b>Floodplain Regulations</b>	X	11/2012					
<b>Floodplain Management Plan</b>	X	11/2012					



Tool/Program	Status		Dept. / Agency Responsible	Effect on Loss Reduction: + Support 0 Neutral - Hinder	Change Since Last Plan: + Positive - Negative	Comments:
	In Place	Date Adopted or Updated				
Zoning Regulations						
Subdivision Regulations	X	1993				
Comprehensive Land Use Plan (or General, Master, or Growth Mgmt. Plan)	X	1993				
Open Space Management Plan (or Parks/Rec or Greenways Plan)						
Stormwater Management Plan/Ordinance						
Natural Resource Protection Plan						
Capital Improvement Plan						
Economic Development Plan						
Historic Preservation Plan						
Farmland Preservation						
Building Code	X	1995				
Fire Code						



Tool/Program	Status			Dept. / Agency Responsible	Effect on Loss Reduction: + Support O Neutral - Hinder	Change Since Last Plan: + Positive - Negative	Comments:
	In Place	Date Adopted or Updated	Under Development				
Firewise							
Storm Ready							
Other							




2. **Administrative and Technical Capability:** Please indicate whether your jurisdiction maintains the following staff members within its current personnel resources by placing an "X" in the appropriate box. Then, if YES, please indicate the department or agency they work under and provide any other comments you may have in the space provided or with attachments.

Staff/Personnel Resources	Yes	No	Department/Agency	Comments
Planners (with land use/land development knowledge)	X		Juniata Planning	
Planners or engineers (with natural and/or human-caused hazards knowledge)				
Engineers or professionals trained in building and/or infrastructure construction practices (includes building inspectors)				
Emergency manager	X		George Shearer	
Floodplain manager				
Land surveyors				
Scientists or staff familiar with the hazards of the community				
Personnel skilled in Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and/or FEMA's HAZUS program				
Grant writers or fiscal staff to handle large/complex grants				
Other				



3. **Fiscal Capability:** Please indicate whether your jurisdiction has access to or is eligible to use the following local financial resources for hazard mitigation purposes (including as match funds for state or federal mitigation grant funds.) Then, identify the primary department or agency responsible for its administration or allocation and provide any other comments you may have in the space provided or with attachments.

Financial Resources	Yes	No	Department/Agency	Comments
Capital improvement programming				
Community Development Block Grants (CDBGs)	X		Juniata County	
Special purpose taxes				
Gas/electric utility fees				
Water/sewer fees				
Stormwater utility fees				
Development impact fees				
General obligation, revenue, and/or special tax bonds	X		Street Lights	
Partnering arrangements or intergovernmental agreements				
Other				



4. **Community Political Capability:** Political capability in this instance is being measured by the degree to which local political leadership (including appointed boards) is willing to enact policies and programs that reduce hazard vulnerabilities in your community, even if met with some opposition. Examples may include guiding development away from identified hazard areas, restricting public investments or capital improvements within hazard areas, or enforcing local development standards that go beyond minimum state or federal requirements (e.g., building codes, floodplain management, etc.). Rate the jurisdiction's political capability to enact policies and programs that reduce hazard vulnerabilities on a scale from 0 to 5. Generally, a higher score corresponds to a higher degree of community political capability.



5. **Self-Assessment of Capability:** Please provide an approximate measure of your jurisdiction's capability to effectively implement hazard mitigation strategies to reduce hazard vulnerabilities. Using the following table, place an "X" in the box marking the most appropriate degree of capability (Limited, Moderate, or High) based upon best available information and the responses provided in Sections 1-4 of this survey. For multi-jurisdictional plans, record the results of this section into the Self-Assessment Capability Matrix in Section 5 of the plan.

Area	Degree of Capability		
	Limited	Moderate	High
Planning and Regulatory Capability			X
Administrative and Technical Capability			X
Fiscal Capability			X
Community Political Capability			X
Community Resiliency Capability			X

